Planning for Growth (Balancing Capacity between Shafer and Stanley)

Board Work Study Meeting
December 9, 2013
Possibilities for Relief at Stanley

• **Option A** – Do nothing at this time. Assess Shafer and Stanley as part of a comprehensive enrollment, capacity, and programs assessment

• **Option B** – Move 74M and 74D from Stanley to Shafer

• **Option C** – Move 74A to Shafer
Possibilities for Relief at Stanley

• **Option D** – Preferred option: Move All of Westheimer Lakes North to Shafer, Move 74J & 74K to Stanley

• **Option E** – Split Westheimer Lakes North Version I

• **Option F** – Split Westheimer Lakes North Version II

• **Option G** – Split Westheimer Lakes North Version III

• **Option H** – Split Westheimer Lakes North Version IV
Stanley Option A - Do Nothing at Present
Stanley/Shafer Option A: Do Nothing at this Time

- Stanley would continue to operate just above its current enrollment levels
- Allows Stanley to be addressed in the future, under a comprehensive enrollment, capacity, and programs assessment
- Doing nothing at this point would ensure the maximum amount of stability of neighborhoods
Stanley Option B - Move 74M and 74D to Shafer
Stanley/Shafer Option B: Move LUZ 74M and 74D to Shafer

- Moves LUZ 74M & 74D to Stanley from Shafer
  - Better utilizes Shafer
  - Relieves Stanley that has little chance for relief in other ways
- Possibility that these would be moved at some point in a large-scale rezoning of the District
- 74M and 74D are closer to Stanley (or even Wilson) than Shafer
Stanley/Shafer Option C: Move LUZ 74A to Shafer

- Moves LUZ 74A (Westheimer Lakes North) to Shafer from Stanley
  - Due to the large enrollment in 74A, creates at Shafer a problem similar to the one we’re trying to alleviate at Stanley
  - Tried to split 74A, but the configuration of the homes and the neighborhood did not seem very conducive to such a split. Any split would likely be along property lines
Stanley Option D - Move All of Westheimer Lakes North to Shafer,
Send 74J & 74K to Stanley
Stanley/Shafner Option D: Move All of Westheimer Lakes North to Shafer, Move 74J & 74K to Stanley

- Enrollment figures are balanced between the two
- Does not split out Westheimer Lakes North into two pieces
- Puts LUZs that are close to Stanley in Stanley’s zone, maximizing the number of students that can walk or ride bikes
- Does move the NE portion of Westheimer Lakes North that is close enough to walk to Stanley over to Shafer
- Results in moving TWO areas to balance the enrollments
  - LUZ 74J & LUZ 74K might be unaware they could be moved and would need the opportunity to provide input
- Might be a good long-term solution to Stanley and Shafer
Stanley Option E - Split 1 for Westheimer Lakes North
Stanley/Shafer Option E: Split Westheimer Lakes North Version 1

- Enrollments are fairly well balanced
- Leaves a portion of the Westheimer Lakes North “walkers” at Stanley
- Splits Westheimer Lakes North into two pieces, along fencelines in the northeastern portion of the LUZ
Stanley Option F - Split 2 for Westheimer Lakes North
Stanley/Shafer Option F: Split Westheimer Lakes North Version II

- Leaves more of the Westheimer Lakes North “walkers” in Stanley
- Splits Westheimer Lakes North into two pieces, along fencelines
- Does not balance the enrollments at the schools as well
Stanley/Shafer Option G: Split Westheimer Lakes North Version III

- Splits Westheimer Lakes North into two parts, along greenspace – not fencelines
  - Split is not even with regard to the number of students in each new area
  - Does not provide enough relief for Stanley
  - Zones are not contiguous
- Could send the northern portion to Shafer and the southern portion to Stanley, but that keeps the “walkers” from being able to walk to Stanley
Westheimer Lakes North

Stanley  225
Total K-5  251

Stanley  210
Total K-5  242
Stanley Option H - Split 4 for Westheimer Lakes North
Stanley/Shafer Option H: Split
Westheimer Lakes North Version IV

• Splits Westheimer Lakes North into two parts, along greenspace, with a more even and clean split than the previous option
  • Still leaves a slight uneven distribution of students between the schools
  • Zones are not contiguous
• Could send the northern portion to Shafer and the southern portion to Stanley, but that keeps the “walkers” from being able to walk to Stanley
Conclusion

• Stanley and Shafer enrollment can be better balanced by impacting as little as just one LUZ.
• PASA recommends moving LUZ 74A and also LUZ 74J & 74K, using option D to provide immediate balance between Shafer and Stanley.
• PASA recommends a comprehensive enrollment, capacity, and program assessment within the district.
Questions?